

Risk Assessment No 3 Risk Assessment for the Use of Low Activity Sealed Sources under the Ionising Radiations Regulations 2017

Ionising Radiations Regulations 2017

Document type	Procedure
Scope (applies to)	Staff and students
Applicability date	11/07/2021
Review / Expiry date	12/07/2024
Approved date	12/07/2021
Approver	Head of EHSS
Document owner	Deputy Director
School / unit	Environmental Health and Safety Services
Document status	Published
Information classification	Public
Equality impact assessment	None
Key terms	Health and safety/Hazard identification and risk
	assessment
Purpose	Compliance with Ionising Radiations
	Regulations 2017 legislation

RISK ASSESSMENT 3 - Use of Low Activity Sealed Sources - IRR17

Description of Work and Scope of the Assessment

This is a risk assessment for the use of low activity radioactive sources (Sr-90, Co-60), calibration sources within equipment and other items (eg Uranium Glass Block) of less than 185kBq

This risk assessment has been carried out in accordance with the Ionising Radiations Regulations 2017 (IRR17) Approved Code of Practice (ACoP). This risk assessment only addresses the radiological risks associated with the type of equipment detailed above.

Who is at risk?

These are all low activity sources. All sources are used for undergraduate research or are imbedded in equipment.

The risk is very minor due to the low activity. Suitable shielding (lead glass screen for Co-60 but perspex for Sr-90) will be used.

Calibration sources will be located within equipment and should be suitably shielded such that no person should be exposed to radiation except for maintenance.

Nobody else should be exposed to these sources

ACoP Paragraph 70 - Matters to be considered in an assessment, where relevant

70(a) - Nature of the radiation sources likely to be present

The Co-60 source will produce mainly gamma radiation while the Sr-90 sources will produce almost 100% beta emissions

Other sources may be incorporated into equipment as calibration sources

70(b) - Estimated dose rates

No dose recorded on whole body dosimeters of greater than 0.5 mSv/2 months

70(c) - Likelihood of contamination arising and being spread

The there is no likelihood for contamination under normal use. Sealed sources are wipe tested on a 24 month cycle. No evidence of leakage from sealed sources.

Sources in equipment will be fixed into the equipment and no University personnel will have access to these sources.

70(d) - Results of previous personal dosimetry and area monitoring

No dosimeter readings greater than 0.05 mSv/2 months for normal use of the equipment. Dose rates less than 2.5 μ Sv/hr thus below the IRR17 requirement for a 'Supervised Area'.

70(e) - Advice from manufacturers or suppliers about safe use and maintenance of equipment

Only authorised trained personnel will be allowed or closely supervised under graduates will be allowed to use these sources.

Sources in equipment will not be accessible and will be well shielded.

70(f) - Engineering Controls, etc. In place or planned

The sources are kept within a locked room and then inside a locked safe. Access to the sources is restricted to named individuals.

The sources are enclosed inside a specific holder which is then held onto a holder. The sealed source is never exposed.

Calibration sources within equipment cannot be accessed except by maintenance engineers from specialist company. Any work on equipment sources would require the room to be transferred to the control of the maintenance company while work on such sources is undertaken.

70(g) - Planned Systems of Work

Only trained users who have passed the test on the University Radiation Protection Course are allowed to use the equipment. Undergraduates are then allowed to use these in experiments under close supervision

Access to calibration sources within equipment will only be allowed to trained maintenance engineers from specialist companies. When such sources are exposed, the responsibility of the room will be temporarily transferred to the maintenance company

70(h) - Estimated airborne and surface contamination levels

Surface contamination levels ie leakage of radioactive is regularly measured. No such leakage has occurred.

70(i) - Effectiveness and suitability of PPE

Laboratory coat, disposable nitrile gloves and eye protection should be worn

70(j) - Unrestricted access to high dose rates or significant contamination

No high dose levels will be present

70(k) - Possible accident situations, their likelihood and severity

• The source leaks out of its support medium. This is checked for during leakage tests

Foreseeable Sealed Source Incident	Actions to be taken
Theft or loss of source	The URPO should be notified as soon as is reasonably practicable. A search will be instigated to try and locate the relevant sources. If the sources cannot be found, the URPO will notify Police Scotland, SEPA and HSE
Terrorist Action	If there is evidence of a terrorist action against the HASS source, ,then the Head of University Security must be informed who will notify Police Scotland with the Head of EHSS and URPO
Failure of Shielding	If during use or an inspection a significant dose is detected outside the shielding of the equipment HASS or other sources with a high activity, then the equipment must be taken out of service and the room locked. The URPO and the University RPA must be told of this who will take the appropriate actions
Leakage from the Sealed Source	If during the annual wipe test of sealed sources it is determined that the sealed source is leaking from its protective cover, then the sopurces made safe where this is possible an the room must be locked. The URPO must be notified as soon as practicable who will arrange for disposal of the source.
Fire	In the event of a fire in a room with sealed sources, evacuate the room (helping any injured person out of the room), activate the fire alarm to evacuate the building. Call the Fire Service on 999 or 112 and tell them there is a fire and that the fire is in a room with radioactive materials. Wait for the fire service to arrive and then give details of the incident. Notify the URPO and DRPS and Head of School about the fire as soon as possible.
Flood	If the flood can be easily stopped, it should be. If there is a flood in a room with sealed radioactive sources, evacuate the room. Notify the URPO, DRPS and Building Safety Coordinator during normal working hours. Call Security and Response if out of hours.

70(I) - Consequences of failure of Control Measures including Systems of Work					
See Table 1					
70(m) - Steps taken to prevent accidents, or limit their consequences					
See Table 1.					

TABLE 1

TABL	Who is	Hazard			Initial Risk		Controls		Residual Risk	
Step	Affecte d	Description	Effect	SF	FF	R	List of Controls Required	SF	FF	R
1	Worker	The users are exposed to un shielded radiation	Possibility of raising risk of some form of cancer.	2	2	4	These sources are all very low activity sources and present minimal risks. All sources will be worked on behind suitable shielding. All workers will be wearing laboratory coats, disposable nitrile gloves and eye protection as standard laboratory PPE	2	1	2
2	Workers and DRPS (or depute) and URPO	If the sealed radioactive leaks	Possible risks of cancer	3	2	6	If the dose rate meter/contamination meter shows there is leakage of radioactive materials, then it will be removed from service - taken to the University radioactive waste store for disposal	2	1	2
3	Trades staff (eg Plumbers) who need access to specific areas	a requirement	Possible risk of cancer	4	2	8	No trades staff may enter this room without approval of DRPS. The area will be monitored before trades staff enter the area and a whole body radiation dosimeter will be issued to the trades staff to ensure they have not received a dose	2	1	2
4	Administrative Staff	No administrative staff will be allowed into this area	No administrative staff	1	1	1	Signage on the door will say Only Authorised personnel can enter	1	1	1
5	b tr	No cleaners will e allowed into his area without pproval	No cleaners allowed in at any time	1	1	1	Signage on the door will say Only Authorised personnel can enter.	1	1	1

Severi	ty Fac	ctor (SF)					
Frequency Factor (FF)		Sligh (1)		tly Harmful Harmful (2)		Very Harmful (3)	Extremely Harmful (4)
	y Fac	Very Unlikely (1)	1		2	3	4
	tor	Unlikely (2)	2		4	6	8
		Possible (3)	3		6	9	12
	Probable (4)		4		8	12	16
Risk (I	R) = F	Frequency factor (FF) x Seve	erity of Harm ((SF)		
Risk R (R)	ating	Classification		Action Requi	ired		
1-2		Low	Low No additional controls				

ACoP Paragraph 71 – Outcomes of the assessment

71(a) - Actions taken to keep exposures ALARP

All work will be risk assessed. Where it is possible to use non-radioactive systems these will be used. If the work must use irradiator then a detailed assessment will be undertaken.

Only trained personnel will be allowed to undertake this work. Access to this room is via key lock.

There will be no unauthorised personnel in this area.

All work will be undertaken behind appropriate shielding Co-60 sources will be worked on behind lead glass shielding and Sr-90 behind Perspex shielding

PPE - all workers will wear a laboratory coat, disposable nitrile gloves and eye protection.

Only maintenance engineers from specialist companies will have access to calibration sources within equipment

71(b) - What Engineering Controls, Warning Signals and other Safety Systems are necessary

The door to the room will have a no unauthorised entry signage posted on the door

71(c) - Whether PPE is appropriate and if so what type

Laboratory coat, disposable nitrile gloves and eye protection - Standard laboratory PPE.

71(d) - Dose Constraints

We have adopted the safe working threshold as less than 0.5 mSv/2months.

71(e) - Protection of female employees

No additional protection required. A separate risk assessment should be undertaken for expectant mothers.

71(f) - Investigation levels

An investigation action level of 0.5 mSv/2months has been adopted.

71(g) - Maintenance and testing schedules

There is regular leak testing of the sources.

71(I	h) -	Contingency	Plans
------	------	-------------	--------------

Foreseeable Sealed Source Incident	Actions to be taken
Theft or loss of source	The URPO should be notified as soon as is reasonably practicable. A search will be instigated to try and locate the relevant sources. If the sources cannot be found, the URPO will notify Police Scotland, SEPA and HSE
Terrorist Action	If there is evidence of a terrorist action against the HASS source, ,then the Head of University Security must be informed who will notify Police Scotland with the Head of EHSS and URPO
Failure of Shielding	If during use or an inspection a significant dose is detected outside the shielding of the equipment HASS or other sources with a high activity, then the equipment must be taken out of service and the room locked. The URPO and the University RPA must be told of this who will take the appropriate actions
Leakage from the Sealed Source	If during the annual wipe test of sealed sources it is determined that the sealed source is leaking from its protective cover, then the sopurces made safe where this is possible an the room must be locked. The URPO must be notified as soon as practicable who will arrange for disposal of the source.
Fire	In the event of a fire in a room with sealed sources, evacuate the room (helping any injured person out of the room), activate the fire alarm to evacuate the building. Call the Fire Service on 999 or 112 and tell them there is a fire and that the fire is in a room with radioactive materials. Wait for the fire service to arrive and then give details of the incident. Notify the URPO and DRPS and Head of School about the fire as soon as possible.
Flood	If the flood can be easily stopped, it should be. If there is a flood in a room with sealed radioactive sources, evacuate the room. Notify the URPO, DRPS and Building Safety Coordinator during normal working hours. Call Security and Response if out of hours.

71(i) - Training needs

All users of the sources have been trained on the University Radiation Protection Electronic programme and have passed the associated test with greater than 60%. Under graduates will use the sources under close supervision. Records of such training are held on the University of St Andrews Moodle Training Platform.

71(j) - Designation of Controlled and Supervised Areas

No dose rates greater than 2.5 μ Sv/hr would be expected in the room thus would be below the IRR17 requirement for a 'Supervised Area'.

Areas are deemed as Supervised Area as long as the following parameters are met:

	STORAGE	USAGE			
Radionuclide	Supervised Area (MBq)	Supervised Area (MBq)	Teaching Laborator (MBq)		
3H 14C 22Na 24Na 32P 35S 36CI 45Ca 51Cr 59Fe 86Rb 125I	1000 500 50 50 50 500 50 50 50 10	100 50 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	20 10 1 1 1 10 1 10 1 1 0.1		

Any amounts which exceed this will be deemed a 'Controlled Area'.

71(k) - Access restrictions and other precautions for designated areas

Access to the area where the sources are stored is via a locked door and then via a locked safe.

71(I) - Designation of persons

Not required.

71(m) - Personal dosimetry

Whole body dosimeter badges issued when such work is undertaken

71(n) - Leak testing of radioactive sources

There will be leak tests done on the Sr-90 and Co-60 sources every 24 months

71(o) - Responsibilities of managers

Ensure that Local Rules are followed, and all staff are properly trained.

71(p) – Monitoring / auditing program to ensure compliance with IRR77

RPA to audit operations every two years

Lead Assessor (sign):

Assessor Dr Paul Szawlowski, University Radiation

Protection Officer and Deputy Director of Environmental, Health

and Safety Services

Date of Assessment: 12/07/2021

Appendix 1

RADIATION CONTROLLED AREA AND EQUIPMENT HANDOVER FORM

Part 1: School/unit Representative	– Hano	dover of Con	trolled Area an	id Equi	ipment to Company		
SITE:			CONTROLLEI	O AREA	/ ROOM:		
	COMPANY CARRYING OUT WORK:						
REASON FOR HANDOVER:							
IDENTIFY KNOWN HAZARDS WITH CONTROLLED ARE OR EQUIPMENT:							
As an authorised representative of the School/Unit I hereby hand over the controlled area and equipment as above. Information has been exchanged to enable appropriate risk assessment to be made.		Company: As an authorised, and suitably trained, representative of the company, I accept responsibility for the controlled area and equipment. I will work in					
School/Unit	Signat	ure:	Company Signature:				
Representative:	Ö		Represen	tative:	C		
Date:	Time:		Date:		Time:		
			VE – Handove	r of C	ontrolled Area and		
Equipment to Sc							
Please tick all applicab details.	le categ	gories of work	carried out. Sec	e visit / s	service report for full		
Category of Work			Details				
☐ Routine Service							
☐ Fault Diagnosis / Rep							
\square Installation of Part(s)							
☐ Upgrade / Modificati	on		☐ Hardware / ☐ Software				
☐ Incident Response							
☐ RPA Inspection							
☐ Exposure Protocol C	hanges						
☐ Other			T				
Could this work have image quality?	implica	ations for radi	ation safety of	□NO	/□YES		
If "Yes", tick one or mo	re hove	s helow that an	nly Please refer	to the v	isit / service report for		
full details.	TC DOXC	s ociow that ap	pry. I lease refer	to the v	isit / service report for		
		□ Interlock	s / Exposure	□ Safe	ety features / warning		
☐ Shielding		terminat	•		levices		
☐ Beam quality / filtr	ation /		n / alignment /				
grid		field siz	- I I I Detector doce / input doce				
☐ 1. Equipment is OPI visit / service repo		ONAL following	ng work as indica	ated abo	ve and detailed on the		
☐ 2. Equipment is PAR		V OPERATIO	NAL but limita	tions ma	ov exist inlease refer to		
visit / service repo		OLLAIN	out minu		ty exist, please refer to		
☐ 3. Equipment is NO T		ATIONAL an	d MUST NOT I	RE USE	D		
Part 3: School/Unit –				JE COE			
I confirm that I have b				ce repre	sentative \square		
I confirm that the abo							
					te checks have been		
carried out in acc							
☐ 1. I am satisfied that					ise.		
	□ 2. I am NOT satisfied that the equipment is satisfactory for use.						
Reason:							
Actions taken:	Actions taken:						

School/Unit Representative:	Signature:	Company Representative:	Signature:
Date:	Time:	Date:	Time:

Version number	Purpose / changes	Document status	Author of changes, role and school / unit	Date
v1.0	New Document	Approved	Dr Paul Szawlowski	12/07/2021